1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Case No. 1:20-CR-017
4 5	vs. : (Judge Wilson) CHRISTOPHER COLLARE, : Defendant :
6 7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
9 10	DAY 1 FULL SESSION PAGES 1 THROUGH 54
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JENNIFER P. WILSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12 13	JULY 6, 2021; 9:00 A.M. HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	Lori A. Shuey Federal Certified Realtime Reporter
22 23	United States Courthouse 228 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 983 Harrisburg, PA 17108-0983
24	717-215-1270 lori_shuey@pamd.uscourts.gov
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	1
1	APPEARANCES
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Carlo D. Marchioli, Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office 228 Walnut Street, Second Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 Phillip J. Caraballo-Garrison, Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office 235 North Washington Avenue, Suite 311 Scranton, PA 18503 James I. Pearce, Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Public Integrity Section, Criminal Division 1331 F. Street N.W., Third Floor Washington, D.C. 20004 FOR THE DEFENDANT: John A. Abom, Esquire Abom & Kutulakis 2 West High Street Carlisle, PA 17013
24 25	

2.4

(The following proceedings were held in the courtroom in the absence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated. We are now in session for the case of United States v. Collare docketed at this court's Number 1:20-CR-17. There are a few matters I wanted to place on the record before we begin jury selection.

The first is to note for the record that I did hold a pretrial conference with counsel that was off the record. We held that on June 30. There was only one matter from my notes that I thought it appropriate to place on the record, which was that the defense did request witness sequestration, which request was not opposed by the government, and so all fact witnesses other than the case agents will be sequestered until their testimony is concluded.

The other matter that we need to address this morning is to provide the *Brady* notice to Mr. Collare because this is the first opportunity to do so. And then after I provide the *Brady* notice, I'll give counsel an opportunity, if there's anything that you would like to place on the record before jury selection or any questions that you may have about jury selection, I'll be happy to address those in just a moment.

So, Mr. Collare, I note that Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5 was amended on October 21st of 2020 to require courts to give both oral and written notice of the government's

Brady disclosure obligations on the first scheduled court date when both the prosecutor and defense counsel are present. In this case, the first opportunity for the required oral notice is today, so I am providing that notice to you now.

I hereby issue an order confirming that the United States has an obligation to timely disclose Brady information to the defendant. I remind government counsel that failure to comply with these disclosure obligations may result in consequences, such as the exclusion of evidence, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings, disciplinary referral, and any other relief authorized by law. I will enter a written order further confirming these obligations.

To be clear, this amendment does not alter or expand the government's existing obligations. It simply requires the court to provide oral and written notice of those obligations to the defendant. Any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. Then the only other matter I wanted to address was whether there were any issues arising from the pretrial conference or otherwise that either counsel wish to place on the record. I'll begin with the government. Anything on behalf of the government?

MR. MARCHIOLI: Not from the pretrial conference, Your Honor, but I did want to alert the court to two things. First, as the court is probably aware or can at least expect, a number

2.4

of our witnesses have battled drug addiction throughout their lives, and some are continuing to battle drug addiction. So we, and primarily the FBI, have arranged for multiple agents beyond the case agents to assist those witnesses in getting to court.

And to the extent that our plans kind of don't go according to plan, we hope to have some backup witnesses, but if we do change things or change the witness order that we give to the court at the end of the day or run into any witness difficulties, it might be due to those issues that some of our witnesses are confronting, so we just wanted to inform the court of that at the start of trial.

THE COURT: Appreciate it.

MR. MARCHIOLI: The second issue, Your Honor, is that the parties have reached multiple stipulations, one of which will cover the admission of a large swath of the government's anticipated exhibits.

We'd like to put that particular stipulation on the record prior to our first witness and then move for the admission of the exhibits covered by that stipulation. And we'd defer to the court about the best time to do that, whether it's when the jury is present or outside of the jury's presence, but we would like to do that before our first witness.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that is wonderful that

2.4

you've reached that agreement. I think we -- how have you confirmed the agreement with respect to the exhibit list? Is it in writing, or would you prefer to simply recite that on the record?

MR. MARCHIOLI: It is in writing. We had planned to also read it on the record, but if Your Honor has a different preference, we can do that, obviously.

as -- what I would prefer to do is put on the record the agreement that's been reached outside the hearing of the jury because that can be a bit tedious. I'd be happy to advise the jury that if they are shown an exhibit and if I indicate it's been admitted, then it is in evidence. So I can advise them of that without necessarily reading the list to them.

So we could do that now, if you'd like. We could do the stipulation portion, and I can admit the exhibits. That way, as we discussed during the pretrial conference, when you have a witness on the stand, it's pretty seamless. You simply remind me -- or you call the number. I will confirm because I keep track, Ms. Edleblute keeps track, I know you keep track, my law clerk keeps track.

If there's any hesitation about whether an exhibit has been admitted, we'll clear that up and it won't be shown to the jury until I've done my confirming step that it's admitted.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Okay.

offer it as an exhibit?

THE COURT: So we could do that now. You have it in writing, so if you want to -- actually, if you want to just tender that, we can mark that as an exhibit to the case, though not an exhibit necessarily to be shown to the jury, unless you want it to be shown to the jury.

MR. MARCHIOLI: We don't intend to show it to the jury, Your Honor. Should I go ahead and read it first or just

THE COURT: Why don't you read it, and then we'll make it an exhibit to the record, and then I will keep track as you read the numbers.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Okay. The following stipulation is entered by the United States and Defendant Christopher Collare through their respective undersigned counsel:

It is hereby stipulated and agreed that the exhibits listed below are business records of the respective listed entities. The records were made at or near the time of the activity they reflect by or from information transmitted by someone with knowledge.

The records were kept in the course of the regularly conducted activity of the respective listed entities. Making the records was a regular practice of that activity. The chain of custody of the exhibits listed below has been properly maintained.

The parties also stipulate and agree to the

```
authenticity of the exhibits listed below. The parties further
1
2
     stipulate and agree to the admission of the exhibits listed
     below.
3
              This stipulation applies to the following exhibits:
4
5
     Federal Bureau of Investigation records, Government's Exhibits
     3 through 7. Cumberland County, Government's Exhibit 8.
6
7
     Borough of Carlisle, Government's Exhibits 9, 50.1, 51.11, 52
8
     through 56 --
              THE COURT: Slow down one moment, Mr. Marchioli. I'm
9
10
     sorry, I was with you up until -- I have 50.1, and then what
11
     was the next one?
              MR. MARCHIOLI: 51.11.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
14
              MR. MARCHIOLI: 52 through 56, 57.1, and 58.
15
     Cumberland County Drug Task Force, Government's Exhibits 12,
     20.1 through 22.2, 23.1 and 23.2, 24.1 through 28.2, 29.1 and
16
     29.2, 30.1 through 30.2, and 70 through 72.
17
              The Law Offices of Paul Orr, Government's Exhibit
18
     29.3. Cumberland County Court of Common Pleas, Government's
19
20
     Exhibits 50.2 through 50.12, 51.1 through 51.10, 51.14 to
     51.16, 59.1 through 59.5. Cumberland County District
21
     Attorney's Office, Government's Exhibits 50.13, 51.12, and 57.
22
23
              THE COURT: Did you say 51.3?
              MR. MARCHIOLI: It was 50.13.
24
25
              THE COURT: Okay. And then, I'm sorry, what was the
```

```
second?
1
2
              MR. MARCHIOLI: 51.12.
              THE COURT: Okay.
3
 4
              MR. MARCHIOLI: And then 57.
5
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
              MR. MARCHIOLI: Department of Justice, Office of
7
     Inspector General, Government's Exhibit 11. Pennsylvania State
8
     Police, Government's Exhibit 22.3. Motel 6, Government's
9
     Exhibits 80 and 81. America's Best Value Inn, Government's
     Exhibit 82.
10
              The chain of custody of the following exhibits has
11
     been properly maintained. The parties also stipulate and agree
12
     to the authenticity of the following exhibits. And just as an
13
14
     aside, Your Honor, these exhibits we have not agreed at this
15
     point that they will be admitted.
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. MARCHIOLI: We're just stipulating to authenticity
17
     and chain of custody. And that applies to Government's
18
     Exhibits 1, 2, 28.3, 50.14, 51.13, and 150 through 171.
19
20
              And, finally, the parties further stipulate and agree
     to the admission of the following exhibits: 1, 28.3, 50.14,
21
22
     51.13, 150 to 160, and 161 to 171.
23
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that last range?
2.4
              MR. MARCHIOLI:
                              161 to 171.
25
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Is that --
```

MR. MARCHIOLI: That's everything from the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And so I assume Mr. Abom already has a copy of the stipulation?

MR. MARCHIOLI: We need to make a copy of the signed version, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Edleblute, would you mind, or Mr. Thomas, could one of you, after it's marked with an exhibit sticker, you'll retain the original, and then we can make a couple of copies, maybe three.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Should I provide a copy?

THE COURT: Yeah, we'll go and make some copies so that we all have the same and then the original will remain for docketing with Ms. Edleblute. We'll make that Government Exhibit -- why don't we make it Government Exhibit 300. I will admit the stipulation with respect to exhibits as Government Exhibit 300. We will make that part of the record of this trial.

I will now admit into evidence, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the exhibits indicated by Mr. Marchioli and as agreed to by counsel only with -- and the court is mindful of the fact that there was a small set of exhibits that there was a stipulation to authenticity and chain of custody, but not admissibility, and so I have not reflected that in my record as those exhibits being admitted but merely that they

are subject to a stipulation.

2.4

And so as I indicated earlier, when the jury is empaneled and these exhibits become -- are being discussed, you can simply indicate, Your Honor, I would ask to show the witness Exhibit Number 1 which was previously admitted into evidence, may it be displayed, I'll confirm from my notes that it's admitted, and then it will be displayed.

All right. Are there any other stipulations? I know there was some discussion of potential fact stipulations.

MR. MARCHIOLI: There are two additional stipulations, Your Honor. With respect to those, we would propose to read those during the trial.

So, for instance, a stipulation about a heroin analysis and a stipulation related to the federal program bribery charge and specifically that the two municipalities at issue received over \$10,000 under a federal program and a stipulation related to a fine applicable to one of the drug offenses that's listed in the indictment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MARCHIOLI: We would propose to read those at an appropriate point during the trial.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. Thank you. Are there any other preliminary matters for the government?

MR. MARCHIOLI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: For the defense?

MR. ABOM: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, then what we'll do is, Ms. Edleblute, when she finishes taking care of that document, we'll check in with our jury clerk and check on the status of things. I'll be available.

So as soon as we get the word that the chart, jury list, and questionnaires are available, Ms. Edleblute will get those distributed to you, and then we'll keep you posted on the status of when we can bring the jury into the room.

Hopefully it will be close to 9:30, but we do have a significant number of jurors for Ms. Campbell to process in. So we'll keep you posted, and I'll be available. Court will stand in recess.

(Recess taken.)

(Prospective jurors enter courtroom at 10:41 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated. I am Judge Jennifer Wilson, and I am the trial judge for the case you've been called in for this morning. You have been called today as prospective jurors for the case of *United States v. Christopher Collare*. This is a criminal case.

Seated in front of me are members of my staff. To my left is our court reporter who will be making a record of everything said in the courtroom, which is called a transcript. Seated next to the court reporter is my courtroom deputy for this case, whom you've already met. Her name is Victoria

2.4

Edleblute. And then to my right is my law clerk, Liam Thomas, who will be assisting me during this trial.

I join everyone in this room in thanking you for your service as jurors already today. I understand you've already watched a video called, *Called to Serve*, which explains the importance of jury selection and the importance of your service, and I promise you I will do my best not to repeat the points already made in that video as we move ahead with the selection of jurors who will decide this case.

In a few moments, I will begin asking you questions to assess your qualifications to sit as jurors for this particular case. You are about to take an oath to answer my questions truthfully. This process throughout this morning is called voir dire. So I'll now ask all prospective jurors to please stand so that Ms. Edleblute can administer an oath.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
(Prospective jurors sworn.)

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. The voir dire examination will begin with a brief statement about the particulars of the case. The purpose of providing this statement is to tell you about the case generally and to identify the parties and their lawyers.

Questions will then be asked to find out whether you have any personal knowledge of or interest in this case, as

well as to determine whether there is any reason why you are not able to render a fair and impartial verdict. Please accept this questioning process in the spirit of its objective, which is to select a fair and impartial jury.

Now, before you came into court this morning, you were asked to fill out a questionnaire in an effort to streamline the jury selection process. The attorneys and I each have a copy of your completed questionnaires, and we will refer to those instead of making you repeat all of the answers.

In addition, you have each been assigned a juror number in an effort to protect your privacy. I will refer to you by your juror number throughout this selection process, and I will also ask you, if you have an answer to a question, to identify yourself by your juror number.

If you have a response to a question that I ask or that one of the attorneys asks, you will let me know that you have a response by raising your hand. When it's your turn to respond, please stand, state your juror number, and then provide your answer.

I know that some folks are more soft-spoken than others, so if you have any difficulty projecting your voice so that we can all hear you and so that we can capture your answer for the record, we do have a microphone we can hand out, but it may be easier if you just, at least initially, attempt to keep your voice up.

In addition, some of you are masked, which is perfectly fine. That's at your discretion according to the masking policy in our courthouse. I just ask, it may be easier, if you have a response, to lower your mask, at least for the purpose of answering a question. All right?

And to that end, there is signage throughout the courthouse, we're following the CDC policy. I won't be asking any of you if you're vaccinated. The signage speaks for itself. If you are vaccinated, you're not obligated to wear a mask, though you're free to do so. If you're not vaccinated, per the CDC, we ask that you continue to be masked.

Now, if I ask a question that you would prefer not to answer in the hearing of everyone else in the courtroom, meaning the other prospective jurors, you should ask to speak to me up front. This is called a sidebar conference. The attorneys will be able to listen, and it will be on the record, but I can offer you this option if you'd like a little more privacy for your response.

Anytime there is a sidebar conference, we will turn on white noise, and we use a special set of headphones up front so that those of us who are intended to hear can hear. I do this so that I can have a private conversation up here at the bench. It is intended, just to be clear, that all of you who are prospective jurors will not hear this conversation. If you are able to hear, I would just ask if you could let me know that by

raising your hand so that we can make adjustments to the sound, if needed.

If you do come forward for a sidebar conference, we will provide you with a special set of headphones that plugs into the system, and I would just ask if you can keep those throughout jury selection. You can dispose of them at the end. But that way we can just give each person who needs one one set of headphones.

Now, after I conclude the questioning, I will ask all prospective jurors to remain seated while I confer with counsel up here at the bench for what's called a sidebar conference, as you already know.

After I finish conferring with counsel about which prospective jurors should be removed for cause and after counsel has an opportunity to exercise their peremptory challenges, I will then identify the jurors who will be empaneled for this case.

This process will take some time, but we'll move as quickly as possible. I simply ask for your patience, your attention, and your honesty, as this is a matter of great importance to the parties and their counsel, as well as to this court. Now I'll turn to the questioning.

(Whereupon, voir dire was conducted, and a jury of twelve and two alternates was empaneled.)

THE COURT: Mr. Marchioli, are you satisfied with the

jury? 1 2 MR. MARCHIOLI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Abom, are you satisfied with the jury? 3 MR. ABOM: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen. Remain standing. Ms. Edleblute will now administer an oath. 6 7 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. 8 (Jury sworn.) 9 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. Empaneled jurors, please be seated. We are going to let you go to lunch, and I want to 11 just sort of explain how we're going to process out of the 12 courtroom at this time. 13 14 So, first of all, all of you who have not now been 15 empaneled and taken an oath, you are being excused with our tremendous appreciation. I know it's been a long morning. 16 appreciate everyone's patience and candor. You've been an 17 extraordinarily interactive group and I think just a really 18 great selection process, although I know a long one, and you're 19 20 probably all hungry and eager to get out of this room. 21 So I won't belabor the point, but please know that 22 even though -- for those of you who have not been empaneled, 23 you're not being selected to serve as jurors, but your service is, nonetheless, valuable. It takes a room full of 60 people, 2.4 25 as you can now appreciate, to empanel a jury of 14, and we

really appreciate your time, and I think I speak on behalf of the lawyers and the parties in saying that. So your service is greatly appreciated.

The way we will move forward at this juncture is that Ms. Edleblute, in just a moment, will open the doors and invite all of you to process out of the courtroom. Those of you who have been excused, you are free to go. Do they need to go to the seventh floor to check in with Marlene?

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Not unless there's something that they need from her.

THE COURT: Okay. If you have no reason to speak with Ms. Campbell, the jury clerk, you're free to just directly leave. You don't need to return to the seventh floor.

For the 14 of you who are now empaneled jurors, I'm going to excuse you for lunch, but before you leave,

Ms. Edleblute is going to show you to your new home for the next couple weeks, your jury deliberation room. So she's going to escort you back to the jury deliberation room so you know where it is, and then you're excused for lunch.

We will begin the trial at 3 o'clock. So you're free to go get a bite to eat and then come back to the jury deliberation room. I would ask that you be back to the jury deliberation room by no later than 2:45 so that we can start on time at 3 o'clock.

All right. Thank you, everyone, and I will see those

of you who are returning at 3 o'clock. Court stands in recess. 1 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please rise. (Luncheon recess taken.) 3 (The following proceedings were held in the courtroom in 4 5 the absence of the jury:) 6 THE COURT: Just a couple of things. Number one, 7 regarding the afternoon schedule, so the plan is, I'm bringing 8 the jury in at 3 o'clock, and I anticipate my preliminary instructions to take somewhere between 25 to 30 minutes, and 9 then we would go right into opening statements. 10 Mr. Abom, do you plan to give an opening statement 11 this afternoon, or are you reserving? 12 MR. ABOM: I plan to give an opening statement. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. And I had allocated 45 minutes per 15 side. Do you both anticipate using about that amount of time 16 or --17 MR. MARCHIOLI: I do not, Your Honor. I think it shouldn't be longer than 25 minutes. 18 19 MR. ABOM: And I do not, as well. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So then I think where that will put 21 us, depending on -- and I'll keep track of time just in case. 22 It doesn't sound like we'll need the full amount of time. 23 you get close to 45 minutes, do either of you want a warning, 24 or will your respective bench keep track of that for you? 25 MR. MARCHIOLI: I'll take a warning, but hopefully I

don't get there.

MR. ABOM: Yeah, I don't think it's going to be 45 minutes, but if I get to 40 minutes, if you could --

THE COURT: Tori will get your attention in some way, shape, or form.

MR. ABOM: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I think where that's going to put us then, until we conclude opening statements, will be a natural break for the day.

I did sort of take a look at where our jurors are traveling from, our empaneled jurors. We have some that are traveling a fair distance, so I think we should anticipate sticking to the "no earlier than 9:00" and, if we can avoid it, "no later than 4:30" schedule to be considerate of their travel time.

One other matter related to our jurors, Juror Number 26, who is now empaneled, let Ms. Edleblute know, and I'm letting you know, he is a pilot. He will be able to serve, you know, Monday through Friday, but he, for example, has a flight scheduled this weekend that will take him out of state.

He anticipates being back, if the flights remain as scheduled, Sunday evening. But he did let us know that there is always that possibility of a canceled flight. So he's not asking to be excused, he intends to serve, but that is a possibility. And, of course, that is why we have alternates.

So letting you know that.

2.4

Also related to our jury, because we went sort of long on jury selection, through no one's fault -- I thought we actually moved at a pretty good pace -- my desire to be humane and get them out of here to be able to get lunch resulted in -- I failed to give them any instruction about not looking at media and not discussing the case.

And I do want to note for the record that the court observed, over the lunch break, there's an article on PennLive about jury selection. The jurors have their phones. I just wanted to put that on the record. It was my failure to instruct. Normally before we take a recess, I would have instructed them not to discuss the case with anyone and not to review any articles or gather any information about the case.

Is there any discussion about that? Is there anything either side would like to place on the record?

MR. MARCHIOLI: Not for the government, Your Honor.

MR. ABOM: I don't know if you would want to -- I leave it to the court to inquire as to whether anybody looked at any articles. Of course then everybody is going to be very curious and will go to do that.

Maybe you could instruct them not to, but if they had, to let you know about it. Or if there was -- I don't know what it said, so I don't know if there's anything in there.

THE COURT: It was just an article about jury

selection. And it does detail the nature of the charges, as well. I mean, it's a fairly comprehensive article, actually, describing the case, the nature of the charges, and the jury selection process.

What I would suggest is, so there's no discussion of -- I don't think in the standard pattern jury instructions for preliminary matters, I don't think there's any discussion of, you know, not doing any research, but certainly before we break for today I'll give them the full instruction on no discussions, no consumption of news, et cetera, no research.

And what I will do is, I will flag for them that if they have already been exposed to any information about the case, they should let Ms. Edleblute know, and she'll let me know. And then I'll pick up with that in the morning, as well, as a fail-safe. Do you feel that would adequately address the issue, Mr. Abom?

MR. ABOM: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Lastly, we had live-streamed jury selection to Courtroom 4. That was -- that is turned off at this point. It remains available as an option if necessary, if we had any difficulty during the trial with seating or if anyone was not appropriate to be in the courtroom, but at this point I'm not planning to live-stream unless that becomes necessary.

Finally, when we conclude today, after I excuse the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

jury -- and I'll tell them the plan will be to resume trial at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday. I'll tell them to be here no later than 8:45. But after I excuse them, I'll ask the government just to give us a lineup for tomorrow's witness list. All right? So we'll do that before we part ways. Are there any other preliminary matters for the government before we bring the jury in? MR. MARCHIOLI: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: For the defense? MR. ABOM: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Very well. So we'll just wait until 3 o'clock. MR. MARCHIOLI: Your Honor, I apologize, there is one issue we just learned, and we actually don't have complete information about it. One of the government's witnesses, FBI Special Agent Eric Rardain, mentioned to us just very briefly as we were coming up to court that he saw someone who he thinks is one of the jurors who he recognizes, I think through some type of youth sports connection. He doesn't think that that juror even knows his last name, which might be why no one raised their hand to indicate that they know Eric Rardain. We can try to get more

information. That's all I have at this point. I just wanted

to let the court know and let Mr. Abom know.

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Abom.
 1
 2
                         Well, if -- I'm hopeful that they don't
              MR. ABOM:
     know each other. You know, it's --
 3
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, what is the agent's name?
 4
 5
              MR. MARCHIOLI: Eric Rardain.
              THE COURT: Was that on the list? It was such a long
 6
 7
     list. Was it on the list?
 8
              MR. MARCHIOLI: It was, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. MARCHIOLI: The spelling is a little bit strange,
10
11
     but -- so you might have said, like, Eric Rardain or something
     like that.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think we need to clear that
13
14
          What I could do is, before we bring the jury in, I could
15
     ask Ms. Edleblute to let them know that I mispronounced a name.
     Were there any other names I mispronounced?
16
17
              MR. MARCHIOLI: I don't believe so. I think you were
     fairly close to what I understand the correct pronunciation to
18
19
     be.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. We could simply ask Ms. Edleblute
     to let the jurors know that the court inadvertently
21
22
     mispronounced one of the witness names and the judge wanted to
23
     know if, with the correct pronunciation, if any of you know
24
     this person.
                   That might be a way to -- because as you say, the
25
     juror may not actually connect a name and a face or may not
```

know the last name. 1 2 MR. MARCHIOLI: Right. THE COURT: I think that would be appropriate. 3 what is the correct pronunciation? 4 5 MR. MARCHIOLI: Eric Rardain. 6 THE COURT: All right. She'll ask that question, and 7 then, Tori, if that creates an issue, if you could just come 8 back in and then let us know before we bring them in. 9 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, of course. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: MR. MARCHIOLI: Your Honor, I believe there's another 11 issue related to my opening statement. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 14 MR. MARCHIOLI: I plan to show a few pictures during 15 the opening statement which I believe have now been admitted based on the stipulation this morning. I also plan to show a 16 17 particular text message that Mr. Abom has not concurred in its admission yet, but the government anticipates it will be 18 19 admitted during the trial. 20 MR. ABOM: And I would object to it being shown to the jury at the opening statement. 21 THE COURT: Just the text message? 22 23 MR. ABOM: Correct. 2.4 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Marchioli, I'm going to caution 25 you in the same way that I have prior counsel in other cases

when this issue has come up, if you take the risk, so if you show the jury an exhibit that is ultimately not admitted, that's a litigation hazard you're assuming.

But if you -- I mean, the purpose of an opening statement is to provide a roadmap for the jury of what you anticipate the evidence will show, so I'm not going to preclude you from doing that, but I will give you that caution, that if it's ultimately not admitted, I suspect the jury will hear from Mr. Abom about that.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Understood, Your Honor.

MR. ABOM: If the court would look at the nature of the email, that's a bell that can't be unrung. Because if it doesn't come in -- it's so prejudicial that I don't think that it would -- that prejudice would be able to be overcome if it didn't come in.

THE COURT: Would you like me to look at the exhibit?

MR. ABOM: I would.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Seto, do you have that available? How would you propose to admit the exhibit? I mean, it's clearly hearsay.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Your Honor, this is a statement of the defendant, and we anticipate that the individual that the defendant sent this text message to will testify. Her name is Amy Keller. She will be able to provide significant information about getting multiple texts from the defendant's

phone.

2.4

Additionally, Your Honor, the defendant was asked during his recorded interview about this particular text message, and I believe at least five lines or so of the text message were read to the defendant during the interview, and he indicated, I think without any doubt, that, yes, he had sent that text message.

THE COURT: Mr. Abom.

MR. ABOM: Your Honor, I just think it's very inflammatory. And while I -- and we haven't conceded that it would be admitted into the record as of yet and it hasn't been admitted, so it's not part of our stipulations, and we had many stipulations. And that witness has not yet testified, and the witnesses regarding any showing of anything, they have not yet testified, either.

So I would -- I think it can be a roadmap, but ultimately they're giving the evidence. It's no different than displaying the evidence before it's been admitted, and the court has admonished us not to do that.

MR. MARCHIOLI: I don't believe the court has admonished us not to do that. I think we're permitted to use certain exhibits during opening statements. The government certainly understands that there are risks associated with doing that.

I think I should have stated initially my second

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

point, which is that the defendant was read a portion of this text message during his recorded interview and acknowledged sending that text message. That should be enough to get it in. And like I said, we're also going to have, we plan to have the witness who received this text message also testify. THE COURT: I'm going to stand by my original position, so he's permitted to show it. All right. Are there any other preliminary issues? think Ms. Edleblute was proceeding as we had discussed, but we'll soon be prepared to bring the jury in. Counsel, are you both ready? MR. MARCHIOLI: Yes, Your Honor. (Court and courtroom deputy confer.) THE COURT: Counsel, as requested, Ms. Edleblute did run the name of the officer past the jurors just indicating he's a witness. She gave it the correct pronunciation, and there was no concern expressed by our jurors. Everyone ready? MR. MARCHIOLI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. We'll bring the jury in. (Jury enters courtroom.) THE COURT: Welcome, jurors. Please be seated, everyone. Ladies and gentlemen, now that you have been sworn, I'm going to tell you what your role as jurors in this case will be. Under our system of justice, the role of the jury is

2.4

to find the facts of the case based on the evidence presented at trial. You must decide the facts only from the evidence presented to you in this trial.

From the evidence that you will hear and see in this room, you will decide what the facts are and then apply to those facts the law that I will give you in my final instructions. That is how you will reach your verdict.

Whatever your verdict, it will have to be unanimous. All of you will have to agree on it or there will be no verdict. In the jury room, you will discuss the case among yourselves, but ultimately each of you will have to make up his or her own mind. Therefore, each of you has a responsibility which you cannot avoid, and you should do your best throughout the trial to fulfill this responsibility.

I play no part in finding the facts. You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the evidence or about what your verdict should be. My role is to make whatever legal decisions have to be made during the course of the trial and explain to you the legal principles that must guide you in your decisions.

You must apply my instructions about the law. Each of the instructions is important. You must not substitute your own notion or opinion about what the law is or ought to be. You must follow what I give to you whether you agree with it or not.

Perform these duties fairly and impartially. Do not allow sympathy, prejudice, fear, or public opinion to influence you. You should also not be influenced by any person's race, color, religion, national ancestry, gender, or position in life or in the community.

Here are some important rules about your conduct as jurors:

First, keep an open mind. Do not make up your mind about your verdict until you have heard all of the evidence and I have given final instructions about the law at the end of the trial and you have discussed the case with your fellow jurors during your deliberations.

Second, do not discuss the case among yourselves until the end of the trial when you retire to the jury room to deliberate. You need to allow each juror the opportunity to keep an open mind throughout the entire trial. During trial, you may talk with your fellow jurors about anything else of a personal nature or of common interest.

Third, during the trial, you should not speak to any of the parties, lawyers, or witnesses involved in this case, not even to pass the time of day. If any lawyer, party, or witness does not speak to you if you pass in the hallway, ride in the elevator, or the like, remember, it's because they're not supposed to talk or visit with you either.

Do not talk with or -- do not talk with anyone else or

2.4

listen to others talk about this case until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. It is important not only that you do justice in this case, but that you give the appearance of justice, as well.

If anyone should try to talk to you during -- talk to you about the case during the trial, please report that to me through my courtroom deputy immediately. Do not discuss the situation with any other juror.

Fifth, do not discuss this case with anyone outside the courtroom or at home, including your family and friends. You may certainly tell your family or friends that you have been selected as a juror in a case, and you may tell them how long the trial is expected to last.

However, you should also tell them that the judge instructed you not to talk any more about the case and that they should not talk to you about it. The reason for that is that sometimes someone else's thoughts can influence you. Your thinking should be influenced only by what you learn in this courtroom.

Sixth, until the trial is over and your verdict is announced, do not watch or listen to any television or radio news programs or reports about the case or read any news or Internet stories or articles about the case or about anyone involved with the case.

I'll check with you each afternoon. I'll remind you

of this instruction. I'll check with you in the morning. And if at any time, including now, if anyone has read or heard anything about the case, I'll ask you to please let

Ms. Edleblute know at the next recess.

Seventh, do not use a computer, cellphone, other electronic device, or tools of technology while in the courtroom or during deliberations. These devices may be used during breaks or recesses for personal uses but may not be used to obtain or disclose information about the case.

You may not communicate with anyone about the case on your cellphone, through email, Blackberry, iPhone, text messaging, or on Twitter, through any blog or website, through any Internet chat room or by way of any other social networking websites, including Google Plus, Facebook, MySpace, LinkedIn, and YouTube. You may not use any similar technology of social media, even if I have not specifically mentioned it.

Eighth, do not do any research or make any investigation on your own about any matters relating to this case or this type of case. This means, for example, that you must not visit the scene, conduct experiments, consult reference works or dictionaries, or search the Internet, websites, or blogs for additional information or use a computer, cellular phone, or other electronic devices or tools of technology or any other method to obtain information about this case, this type of case, the parties in this case, or

anyone else involved in this case.

2.4

Please do not try to find out information from any source outside the confines of this courtroom. You must decide this case based only on the evidence presented in the courtroom and my instructions about the law. It would be improper for you to try to supplement that information on your own.

Finally, you should not concern yourselves with or consider the possible punishment that might be imposed if you return a verdict of guilty.

Now, during the trial, it may be necessary for me to talk with the lawyers out of your hearing. As you already know, that is referred to as a bench or sidebar conference. If that happens, please be patient.

We also ask that you advise me through my courtroom deputy if you are able to hear any of the sidebar conferences because the purpose, as you already know, is to hold these discussions outside of your hearing for important reasons.

I know you may be curious about what we're discussing. We are not trying to keep important information from you, rather these conferences are necessary for me to discuss with the lawyers objections to evidence and to be sure that evidence is presented to you correctly under the rules of evidence.

We will, of course, do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. If I think the conference will go long, I will take a recess.

I may not always grant a lawyer's request for a sidebar conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as suggesting my opinion of the case or what your verdict should be.

At the end of the trial, you must make your decision based on what you remember of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript of the testimony to review. You must pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. My courtroom deputy has arranged for pens, pencils, and you have notebooks in the binders that have been provided for you. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until the end of the trial when you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case.

Here are some specific points to keep in mind about note-taking:

Note-taking is permitted, but it is not required. You are not required to take notes. How many notes you may want to take, if any, is entirely up to you. Be brief with your notes. Please make sure the note-taking does not distract you from your tasks as jurors. You must listen to the testimony of each witness.

You also need to decide whether and how much to believe each witness. That will require you to watch the appearance, behavior, and manner of each witness while he or

2.4

she is testifying. You cannot write down everything that is said, and there is always a fear that a juror will focus so much on note-taking that he or she will miss the opportunity to make important observations.

Your notes are memory aids. They are not themselves evidence. Notes are not a record or written transcript of the trial. Whether or not you take notes you will need to rely on your own memory of what was said. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by notes.

Do not use your notes or any other juror's notes as authority to persuade fellow jurors. In your deliberations, do not give any more or less weight to the views of a fellow juror just because that juror did or did not take notes.

Do not assume that just because something is in someone's notes, that it necessarily took place in court. It is just as easy to write something down incorrectly as it is to hear or remember it incorrectly.

Notes are not entitled to any greater weight than each juror's independent memory of the evidence. You should rely on your individual and collective memories when you deliberate and reach your verdict.

Do not take your notes away from court. I repeat, at the end of each day, please leave your notes in each of your binders in the jury room. Do not take them with you. You will leave your notes in the jury room during the lunch break and at

the conclusion of each day of trial. Ms. Edleblute will collect your notes and place them in a locked room at the end of each day. My staff is responsible for making sure that no one looks at your notes.

Immediately after you have finished your deliberations and I have accepted your verdict, my staff will collect and destroy your notes to protect the secrecy of your deliberations.

Only the lawyers and I are allowed to ask questions of witnesses. You are not permitted to ask questions of witnesses. If, however, you are unable to hear a witness or a lawyer, please raise your hand immediately and I will correct the situation.

Now, the trial will proceed in the following manner: First, very shortly from now the lawyers will have an opportunity to make opening statements to you. The prosecutor may make an opening statement at the beginning of the case.

The defendant's lawyer may make an opening statement after the prosecutor's opening statement or the defendant may postpone the making of an opening statement until after the government finishes presenting its evidence. The defendant is not required to make an opening statement.

The opening statements are simply an outline to help you understand what each party expects the evidence to show. What is said in the opening statements is not itself evidence.

2.4

Second, after opening statements, the government will introduce the evidence that it thinks proves the charges stated in the indictment. The government will present witnesses, and the defendant's lawyer may cross-examine those witnesses. The government may also offer documents and other exhibits into evidence.

Third, after the government has presented its evidence, the defendant may present evidence, but he is not required to do so. As I will tell you many times during this trial, the government always has the burden or obligation to prove each and every element of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charges. The law never imposes on a defendant in a criminal case the burden of proving his innocence by calling any witnesses, producing any exhibits, or introducing any evidence.

Fourth, after all of the evidence has been presented, the lawyers will have the opportunity to present closing arguments. Closing arguments are designed to present to you the parties' theories about what the evidence has shown and what conclusions may be drawn from the evidence. What is said in closing arguments is not evidence, just as what is said in the opening statements is not evidence.

Fifth, after you have heard the closing arguments, I will give you orally and in writing the final instructions

concerning the law that you must apply to the evidence presented during the trial. As I am doing now, I may also give you instructions on certain aspects of the law throughout the trial, as well as at the end of the trial.

Sixth, after my final instructions on the law, you will retire to consider your verdict. Your deliberations are secret. You will not be required to explain your verdict to anyone. Your verdict must be unanimous. All twelve of you must -- all twelve who deliberate must agree to the verdict.

You must keep your minds open during this trial. Do not make up your mind about any of the questions in this case until you have heard each piece of evidence and all of the law that you must apply to the evidence, in other words, until you begin your deliberations.

The trial is expected to last approximately three weeks. However, this is simply a prediction and not a guarantee. Each day the court will begin trial at 9:00 a.m., and we will take a morning break, a lunch break, and an afternoon break with the goal of recessing each day at 4:30 p.m. The court may adjust this schedule as needed to accommodate the court, the parties, and the witnesses.

You are permitted to use your cellphones during breaks, but you may not bring your phones into the courtroom.

You must make your decision in this case based only on

the evidence that you see and hear in the courtroom. Do not let rumors, suspicions, or anything else that you may see or hear outside of court influence your decision in any way.

The evidence from which you are to find the facts consists of the following: The testimony of the witnesses, documents, and other things received as exhibits, and any fact or testimony that is stipulated, which simply means formally agreed to by the parties.

The following are not evidence: Statements and arguments of the lawyers for the parties in the case, questions by the lawyers and questions that I might ask. You must not assume that a fact is true just because one of the lawyers or I ask a question about it. It is the witness's answers that are the evidence.

Of course, you may need to consider the question to know what a witness means by his or her answer. For example, if a witness answers "yes" to a question, you will have to consider the question to understand what the witness is saying.

Also not evidence, objections by lawyers, including objections in which the lawyers state facts, any testimony I strike or tell you to disregard, and anything you may see or hear about this case outside the courtroom.

You should use your common sense in weighing the evidence. Consider it in light of your everyday experience with people and events and give it whatever weight you believe

2.4

it deserves. If your experience and common sense tells you that certain evidence reasonably leads to a conclusion, you may reach that conclusion.

The rules of evidence control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. An objection simply means that the lawyer is asking me to decide whether the evidence should be allowed under the rules.

Lawyers have a responsibility to their clients to make objections when they think evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the fact that an objection is made.

You should also not be influenced by my rulings on objections to evidence. If I overrule an objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit may be received as evidence and you should treat the testimony or exhibit like any other.

I may allow evidence, testimony, or exhibits only for a limited purpose. If I do that, I will instruct you to consider the evidence only for that limited purpose, and you must follow my instruction.

Now, if I sustain an objection, the question will not be answered or the exhibit will not be received as evidence.

Whenever I sustain an objection, you must disregard the question or the exhibit entirely. Do not think about or guess what the witness might have said in answer to the question. Do not think about or guess what the exhibit might have shown.

Sometimes a witness may have already answered before a lawyer objects or before I rule on the objection. If that happens and if I sustain the objection, you should disregard the answer that was given.

Also, I may order that some testimony or other evidence be stricken or removed from the record. If I do that, I will instruct you to disregard that evidence. That means when you are deciding the case, you must not consider or be influenced in any way by the testimony or other evidence that I told you to disregard.

Although the lawyers may call your attention to certain facts or factual conclusions that they think are important, what the lawyers say is not evidence and is not binding on you. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls your decision.

Also, do not assume from anything I do or say during the trial that I have any opinion about the evidence or about any of the issues in the case or about what your verdict should be.

Two types of evidence may be used in this trial:

Direct evidence and circumstantial or indirect evidence. You

may use both types of evidence in reaching your verdict.

Direct evidence is simply evidence which, if believed, directly proves a fact. An example of direct evidence occurs when a witness testifies about something the witness knows from his or her own senses, something the witness has seen, touched, heard, or smelled.

Circumstantial evidence is evidence which, if believed, indirectly proves a fact. It is evidence that proves one or more facts from which you could find or infer the existence of some other fact or facts.

An inference is simply a deduction or conclusion that reason, experience, and common sense leads you to make from the evidence. An inference is not a suspicion or a guess. It is a reasoned, logical decision to find that a disputed fact exists on the basis of another fact.

For example, if someone walked into the courtroom wearing a wet raincoat and carrying a wet umbrella, that would be circumstantial or indirect evidence from which you could find or conclude that it was raining. You would not have to find that it was raining, but you could.

Sometimes different inferences may be drawn from the same set of facts. The government may ask you to draw one inference, and the defense may ask you to draw another. You and you alone must decide what inferences you will draw based on all the evidence.

You should consider all the evidence that is presented in this trial, direct and circumstantial. The law makes no distinction between the weight that you should give to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give any evidence.

In deciding what the facts are, you must decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses. Credibility refers to whether a witness is worthy of belief. Is the witness truthful? Is the witness's testimony accurate? You may believe everything a witness says or only part of it or none of it.

You may decide whether to believe a witness based on his or her behavior and manner of testifying, the explanations the witness gives, and all the other evidence in the case just as you would in any important matter where you are trying to decide if a person is truthful, straightforward, and accurate in his or her recollection. In deciding the question of credibility, remember to use your common sense, your good judgment, and your experience.

In deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors: The opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things about which the witness testifies; the quality of the witness's knowledge, understanding, and memory; the witness's appearance, behavior, and manner while

2.4

testifying; whether the witness has an interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias, or prejudice; any relation the witness may have with a party in the case and any effect the verdict may have on the witness; whether the witness said or wrote anything before trial that is different from the witness's testimony in court; whether the witness's testimony is consistent or inconsistent with other evidence that you believe; and any other factors that bear on whether the witness should be believed.

Inconsistencies or discrepancies in a witness's testimony or between the testimony of different witnesses may or may not cause you to disbelieve a witness's testimony. Two or more persons witnessing an event may simply see or hear it differently. Mistaken recollection, like failure to recall, is a common human experience.

In weighing the effect of an inconsistency, you should consider whether it is about a matter of importance or an insignificant detail. You should also consider whether the inconsistency is innocent or intentional.

You are not required to accept testimony even if the testimony is not contradicted and the witness is not impeached. You may decide that the testimony is not worthy of belief because of the witness's bearing and demeanor or because of the inherent improbability of the testimony or for other reasons that are sufficient to you.

After you make your own judgment about the believability of a witness, you can then attach to the witness's testimony the importance or weight that you think it deserves.

The weight of the evidence to prove a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify. What is more important than numbers is how believable the witnesses are and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

The government has charged the defendant, Christopher Collare, with violating federal law, specifically wire fraud, honest services mail fraud, bribery, distribution of heroin, and making false statements.

The charges against Mr. Collare are contained in the indictment. An indictment is just the formal way of specifying the exact crimes Mr. Collare is accused of committing. An indictment is simply a description of the charges against a defendant. It is an accusation only. An indictment is not evidence of anything, and you should not give any weight to the fact that Mr. Collare has been indicted in making your decision in this case.

To help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a brief summary of the elements of each offense, each of which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in order to convict Mr. Collare of the offenses charged.

2.4

Counts 1 to 16 of the indictment charge Mr. Collare with wire fraud.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of wire fraud, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following three elements:

First, that Mr. Collare knowingly devised a scheme to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, or willfully participated in such a scheme with knowledge of its fraudulent nature; second, that Mr. Collare acted with the intent to defraud; and, third, that in advancing, furthering, or carrying out the scheme, Mr. Collare transmitted any writing, signal, or sound by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce or caused the transmission of any writing, signal, or sound of some kind by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce.

Counts 19 and 20 of the indictment charge Mr. Collare with honest services mail fraud.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of honest services mail fraud, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following three elements:

First, that Mr. Collare knowingly devised a scheme to defraud or to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services by materially false or fraudulent pretenses,

representations, or promises or willfully participated in such a scheme with knowledge of its fraudulent nature; second, that Mr. Collare acted with the intent to defraud; and, third, that in advancing, furthering, or carrying out the scheme, Mr. Collare used the mails or a private or commercial interstate carrier or caused the mails or private or commercial interstate carrier to be used.

Count 21 of the indictment charges Mr. Collare with solicitation of a bribe.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of this offense, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following five elements:

First, that at the time alleged in the indictment Mr. Collare was an agent of the Borough of Carlisle or Cumberland County, Pennsylvania; second, that the Borough of Carlisle or Cumberland County, Pennsylvania, received federal benefits in excess of \$10,000 in a one-year period; third, that Mr. Collare accepted, agreed to accept, solicited, or demanded something of value from Person Number 16; fourth, that Mr. Collare acted corruptly with the intent to be influenced in connection with the business, a transaction, or a series of transactions of the Borough of Carlisle or Cumberland County, Pennsylvania; and, fifth, that the value of the business, transaction, or series of transactions to which the payment related was at least \$5,000.

2.4

Count 22 of the indictment charges Mr. Collare with demanding, seeking, or receiving a bribe while a public official.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of this offense, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following three elements:

First, that Mr. Collare demanded, sought, received, or agreed to accept something of value; second, that Mr. Collare was, at that time, a public official of the United States or was acting on behalf of the United States; and, third, that Mr. Collare did so corruptly in return for being influenced in the performance of an official act.

Count 23 of the indictment charges Mr. Collare with distributing a mixture or substance containing a controlled substance, specifically heroin.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of this offense, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following three elements:

First, that Mr. Collare distributed a mixture or substance containing a controlled substance; second, that Mr. Collare distributed the controlled substance knowingly or intentionally; and, third that the controlled substance was heroin.

Counts 24 through 29 of the indictment charge Mr. Collare with making false, fictitious, and fraudulent

2.4

statements and representations to a department or agency of the United States.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of this offense, you must find that the government proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following five elements:

First, that Mr. Collare made the statement as charged; second, that the statement was false; third, that the falsity concerned a material matter; fourth, that Mr. Collare acted willfully knowing that the statement was false; and, fifth, that the false statement was made or used for a matter within the jurisdiction of a department or agency of the United States.

What I have just told you is only a preliminary outline of the elements of the offenses charged. At the end of the trial, I will give you final instructions on the elements of the offenses charged and on other matters of law, both orally and in writing. Those final instructions will be more detailed, and they will guide you in reaching your verdict in this case.

As I stated the elements of the charges, you may have noticed that I did not mention Counts 17 and 18 of the indictment. That is because Counts 17 and 18 are no longer before you in this case. You should not be concerned with, nor should you speculate about the reason those charges are no longer part of this trial.

Mr. Collare is on trial only for the charges in Counts 1 through 16 and Counts 19 through 29. You may consider the evidence presented in the case only as it relates to those charges.

Mr. Collare has pleaded not guilty to the offenses charged. Mr. Collare is presumed to be innocent. He starts the trial with a clean slate, with no evidence against him.

The presumption of innocence stays with Mr. Collare unless and until the government presents evidence that overcomes that presumption by convincing you that Mr. Collare is guilty of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt. The presumption of innocence requires that you find Mr. Collare not guilty unless you are satisfied that the government has proven guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence means that Mr. Collare has no burden or obligation to present evidence at all or to prove to you that he is not guilty. The burden or obligation of proof is on the government to prove that Mr. Collare is guilty, and the burden stays with the government throughout the trial.

In order for you to find Mr. Collare guilty of the offenses charged, the government must convince you that Mr. Collare is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That means that the government must prove each and every element of the offenses charged beyond a reasonable doubt. A defendant may

not be convicted based on suspicion or conjecture but only on evidence proving quilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt or to a mathematical certainty.

Possible doubt -- possible doubts or doubt based on conjecture or speculation are not reasonable doubts. A reasonable doubt is a fair doubt based on reason, logic, common sense, or experience.

A reasonable doubt means a doubt that would cause an ordinary person -- an ordinary, reasonable person to hesitate to act in matters of importance in his or her own life. It may arise from the evidence or from the lack of evidence or from the nature of the evidence.

If, after hearing all the evidence, you are convinced that the government has proven Mr. Collare guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, you should return a verdict of guilty. However, if you have a reasonable doubt as to an element of an offense, then you must return a verdict of not guilty.

Mr. Collare is charged with more than one offense, and each offense is charged in a separate count of the indictment. The number of offenses charged is not evidence of guilt, and this should not influence your decision in any way.

You must separately consider the evidence that relates to each offense, and you must return a separate verdict for each offense at the end of the trial. For each offense

charged, you must decide whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of that particular offense.

Your decision on one offense, whether guilty or not guilty, will not influence your decision on any of the other offenses charged. Each offense should be considered separately.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, that concludes my preliminary instructions. I will now turn to counsel for the United States and ask, do you wish to give an opening statement?

MR. MARCHIOLI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Please proceed.

MR. MARCHIOLI: Thank you.

(Whereupon, Mr. Marchioli gave an opening statement on behalf of the government, and Mr. Abom gave an opening statement on behalf of the defendant.)

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Abom. All right. Jurors, we are approaching 4:30, and as promised, I will let you go today by 4:30.

The plan for tomorrow is we will resume trial at 9:00 a.m. I would ask that you all plan to be here by 8:45, and you'll proceed to jury deliberation room -- the jury deliberation room. Ms. Edleblute will check in with you and make sure you're all here and ready to proceed, and then I will

plan to bring you in at 9:00 a.m.

2.4

Because we're about to break for the day, I do want to remind you of the instructions I gave you earlier about your conduct as jurors.

During this recess and all other recesses, do not discuss the case with anyone and do not permit anyone to discuss the case with you.

In addition, as I indicated a few moments ago, you will be asked to decide this case based solely on the evidence presented in this courtroom. This means that after you leave here for the night, you must not watch or listen to any news reports concerning this trial on television or on radio or read any news accounts of the trial in a newspaper or on the Internet.

Further, you must not conduct any independent research about this case, the matters in this case, the legal issues in the case, or the individuals involved in this case.

All right. At this point, ladies and gentlemen, we will recess for the day, and we will look forward to seeing you at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Court stands in recess.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please rise.

(Jury leaves courtroom.)

THE COURT: Are there any matters either counsel would like to place on the record before we break for the day?

MR. MARCHIOLI: Not for the government, Your Honor.

```
1
               MR. ABOM: No, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: We'll go off the record then.
 3
          (Discussion held off the record.)
          (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned at 4:23 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

I, Lori A. Shuey, Federal Certified Realtime Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-captioned matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, this 5th day of April, 2022.

/s/ Lori A. Shuey

Lori A. Shuey

Federal Certified Realtime Reporter